

## 18 January 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Members, Working Group f	or Strategic Planning				
FROM:	Chairman, Working Group for Strategic Planning					
SUBJECT:	Minutes of Working Group	Meetings of 4 and 12 January 1983	25 <b>X</b> 1			
Attached are minutes for the Working Group meetings of 4 and 12 January						
1983. Copies of the vu-graph or handouts used in briefings presented at these						
meetings are included as attachments to the minutes. If you have any changes						
or comments, ple	ease contact	ICS/Policy & Planning Staff, on	25X1			
Attachments: 4 January 1983 12 January 198		25X	25 <b>X</b> 1			

Approved For Release 2009/06/22 : CIA-RDP86M00017R001501130084-6

14 January 1983

## Working Group for Strategic Planning

#### **Minutes**

#### Meeting of 4 January 1983

1. The third meeting of the Working Group for Strategic Planning was held on 4 January 1983 at the Community Headquarters Building. The primary purpose of the meeting was to receive briefings by representatives from NSA, Air Force and the Office of SIGINT Collection on their respective long-range planning efforts, and to discuss a tentative outline for the 1985 Intelligence	
Capabilities Study Update.	25 <b>X</b> 1
2. The Chairman, opened the meeting by inviting the members of the Working Group to attend a series of presentations on private	25 <b>X</b> 1
sector strategic planning activities given by	25 <b>X</b> 1
The dates and locations for the presentations were noted.	25 <b>X</b> 1
3. presented a tentative outline for the 1985 Study Update along with a brief verbal description of each section (See Attachment 1).	25 <b>X</b> 1
Questions were again raised, primarily by the DIA representative,	25 <b>X</b> 1
on the rationale for prioritizing the challenges. The representative from the Intelligence Producers' Council (IPC), noted that there was	25X1
disagreement within the Council on the priorities, and that some members would not approve the list until its ultimate use was known. It was pointed out	20/(1
that DIA had not, as yet, responded to the IPC request for coordination. Mr.	05)//
stated that the prioritization list should not be considered final, but that the list must go back to the IPC for resolution. The Chairman added	25 <b>X</b> 1
that the list would be presented to the NFIC, also, for final Intelligence Community approval.	25 <b>X</b> 1
	20711
4. The purpose of the Working Group's efforts in updating the 1985 Intelligence Capabilities Study was again raised. In the view of several members, the 1985 Study had served its purpose and should be dropped. Mr. specifically questioned the purpose of the Working Group, and asked if	05V4
the DCI or DDCI had laid out what they expected from the planning effort. The Chairman responded that a specific charge had been laid on the Working Group to address Community-wide issues, to assess how well such issues were handled, and to develop Community-wide marketing procedures for selected topics as	25X1
needed. He also noted that the Working Group was not intended to do heavy,	
specific work, or to delve into matters that were properly within the purview of specific Program Managers.	25X1
5. The Navy representative, asked if the Working Group would be responsible for updating the red and blue charts contained in the	25 <b>X</b> 1
1985 Study. The Group was informed that the Office of Program and Budget Coordination was in the process of updating the charts and that copies of	
their recommended changes would be provided to the members at the 12 January	05144
meeting for their review and subsequent comment by the end of the month.	25X1

## Approved For Release 2009/06/22 : CIA-RDP86M00017R001501130084-6

	25 <b>X</b> 1
6. Briefings were presented by Air Force; and Chairman of the SIGINT Overhe	ad
Reconnaissance Subcommittee. Copies of the viewgraphs used in the presentations are contained in Attachments 2, 3, and 4.	du
	25X1
	25X1
Attachments: a/s	25 <b>X</b> 1

3 January 1983

25X1

# 1985 Intelligence Capabilities Study Update

#### Tentative Outline

•	
I. <u>Introduction</u> . (ICS/OP)	
Brief paragraph presenting background of initial study; purpose of the update and basic guidelines (challenges reviewed by exception only) applied to the update process.	25 <b>X</b> 1
II. <u>Summary Statement</u> (ICS/OP)	
Paragraph noting review and confirmation of original thirteen intelligence challenges by the Executive Steering Group and Intelligence Producers Council (IPC), prioritization of the substantive challenges by the IPC, and confirmation of the priorities by the Working Group for Strategic Planning. This paragraph will note how well the challenges and recommendations presented in the original 20 October 1981 Intelligence Capabilities Study have stood up to changes within the Intelligence Community and in the external world situation over the intervening year, with examples	
given.	25X1
III. <u>Overview</u> (TBD)	
This section will contain an encapsulation of the World Overview Report, prepared by the National Intelligence Council for presentation by the DCI to Congress, outlining the DCI's view of the world situation for the last half of the decade and the problems posed for the Intelligence Community.	25X1
IV. The Challenges (ICS/OP and IPC)	
This section will present the thirteen intelligence challenges as rewritten and priority ranked by the Intelligence Producers Council, and confirmed by the Intelligence Community through the Working Group for Strategic Planning and its parent Executive Steering Group.	25X1
V. Assessment of the Intelligence Throughput Process (ICS/OPBC)	
This part of the study will present an overview and assessment of the health of the intelligence throughput process from initial requirement through tasking, collection, processing, analysis and dissemination of the finished product. The overview will be based on the recently completed budget review of intelligence programs by the Intelligence Community Staff's Office of Program and Budget Coordination.	25X1
VI. Future of the Capabilities Study Process (ICS/OP)	
This final section will address changes in the Intelligence Capabilities Study process and its evolution into a viable, permanent Community-wide	

planning process.

